Small Animal Internal Medicine (SAIM) Resident Evaluation American College of Veterinary Internal Medicine

Resident's Name:	Year of Residency: R1	R2	R3
Evaluator's Name:	Date:		
Resident's Mentor:	Evaluation period:		

Each SAIM resident is evaluated based on the eight competencies listed below. These core competencies are based in part on the recommendations established by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) as the criteria for evaluating the development of medical residents during their post professional education clinical training program.

Each resident evaluation is made based on level of proficiency for the year of residency (refer to core competency document). Each competency is evaluated on a scale to 1-9. For any competencies that require attention or are rated ≤ 4, specific comments and recommendations are essential.

1) Patient Care: Provides patient care that is compassionate, appropriate, and effective.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Unsatisfactory: 1 - 3	Satisfactory: 4 - 6	Superior: 7 - 9
 Incomplete, inaccurate medical interviews, physical exams, and review of other data. Incompetent performance of essential procedures. Fails to analyze clinical data and consider client and patient preferences when making medical decisions. 	 Shows compassion in the care and welfare of patients. Obtains a detailed and accurate history and physical exam. Forms a clear diagnostic and therapeutic treatment plan. Competent in performing essential procedures. Follows patients closely and provides competent care. 	 Superb and comprehensive medical interviews, physical exams, review of other data, and procedural skills. Always makes diagnostic and therapeutic decisions based on available evidence, sound judgment, and patient preferences. Proficient in performance of essential procedures and willingness to teach others.
 Performance that warrants praise 		
Provide specific comments:		
Performance that needs attention Provide specific comments:		
Insufficient contact to judge		

1 2 3 5 6 8 9 Satisfactory: 4 - 6 Unsatisfactory: 1 - 3 Superior: 7 - 9 • Limited knowledge of basic and clinical • Understands basic science and literature of Exceptional knowledge of basic and the specialty. Keeps up to date with current clinical sciences. sciences. • Minimal interest in learning. • Highly resourceful in their knowledge base literature. • Does not understand the complex relations and • Demonstrates growth of knowledge and development. mechanisms of disease. critically evaluates new reported • Comprehensive understanding of the complex relationships and mechanisms of information. • Able to apply the problem-oriented disease. approach to cases and efficiently works up difficult cases. Performance that warrants praise Provide specific comments: Performance that needs attention Provide specific comments:

medicine diseases.

Insufficient contact to judge

2) Medical Knowledge: Demonstrates a solid knowledge base about the pathophysiology of both common and uncommon internal

Unsatisfactory: 1 - 3	Satisfactory: 4 - 6	Superior: 7 - 9
 Fails to perform self-evaluation. Lacks insight or initiative. Resists or ignores feedback. Fails to use information technology to enhance patient care or pursue self-improvement. Performance that warrants praise Provide specific comments: 	 Utilizes evidence from scientific studies to optimize patient care. Actively works on further developing areas of weakness and learning from own mistakes. Appreciative of constructive feedback and actively works toward improvement. 	 Constantly evaluates own performance, incorporates feedback into improvement of activities. Effectively uses technology to manage information for patient care and self-improvement.
Performance needs attention Provide specific comments:		
Insufficient contact to judge		

3) Practice-Based Learning/Improvement: Investigates and evaluates scientific evidence to improve clinical case management.

1 2 3 5 9 6 Unsatisfactory: 1 - 3 Satisfactory: 4 - 6 Superior: 7 - 9 • Does not establish even minimally effective • Effectively communicates with • Establishes a highly effective therapeutic therapeutic relationships with patients, faculty/students/technicians/receptionists/staff relationship with owners and rDVMs. • Demonstrates excellent relationship owners and rDVMs. supporting a team effort. • Does not demonstrate ability to build • Supervises students including patient care, building through listening, narrative, and relationships through listening, narrative, or notations in medical records, and nonverbal skills. • Excellent education and counseling of nonverbal skills. communications with owners. • Does not provide education or counseling to • Interacts collegially with colleagues within and owners, students, and colleagues. outside the VMTH and actively participates in • Always "interpersonally" engaged. owner or colleagues. consultations. • Communicates the diagnostic and therapeutic plan clearly with other health care professionals and owners. Provides a clear hand-off of medical information. • Provides thorough and prompt follow-up to rDVMs. Completes and returns medical records in a timely manner following patient discharge. • Follows up with owners and rDVM on pending records for outpatients. Performance that warrants praise Provide specific comments: Performance that needs attention Provide specific comments: Insufficient contact to judge

4) Interpersonal and communication skills: Demonstrates effective interpersonal and communication skills with clients, referring

veterinarians, students, house officers, staff, and faculty.

1 2 3 4 5 6 8 9 Unsatisfactory: 1 - 3 Satisfactory: 4 - 6 Superior: 7 - 9 • Lacks respect, compassion, integrity, • Put the patient's need beyond his/her own self-• Always demonstrate respect, compassion, integrity, honesty. honesty. interest. • Disregards need for self-assessment. • Demonstrates willingness to work hard, has • Role model for responsible behavior. • Fails to acknowledge errors. sound judgment, and maturity. • Total commitment to self-assessment. • Does not consider the needs of patients, • Demonstrates professionalism and maintains • Willingly acknowledges errors. professional image when dealing with difficult • Always considers needs of patient, owners, and colleagues. • Does not display responsible behavior. situations (i.e. cases, personalities, etc.). owner, and colleagues. • Respectful to colleagues and arrive on time for the weekly section and daily service rounds. Performance that warrants praise Provide specific comments: Performance that needs attention Provide specific comments · Insufficient contact to judge

5) Professionalism: Maintains a professional demeanor on the clinic floor and demonstrates a commitment to ethical principles at

all times

1 2 3 5 6 8 9 Unsatisfactory: 1 - 3 Satisfactory: 4 - 6 Superior: 7 - 9 • Lacks interest and willing to participate in • Arrives to rounds on time, is prepared, and • Demonstrates eagerness to participate participates in round discussions. in didactic learning opportunities. didactic learning. • Consistently arrives late or unprepared for • Handouts and presentation style are • Oral presentation style is well prepared. organized, logical, and accurate. round discussions. exemplary and set the standards for • Provides well written and organized handouts to • Frequently cancel scheduled didactic others. • Committed to continual learning and presentations due to lack of preparation. accompany lectures (ex ACVIM reviews). inspires others to do the same. Performance that warrants praise Provide specific comments: Performance needs attention Provide specific comments: Insufficient contact to judge

6) Didactic Learning: Participates actively in medicine journal club, house officer rounds, and other applicable learning

opportunities provided by the residency. Attends daily service and resident rounds consistently and is on time.

1 2 3 4 8 9 Unsatisfactory: 1 - 3 Satisfactory: 4 - 6 Superior: 7 - 9 • Lacks enthusiasm and initiative to identify a • Initiates or assists in the design and/or implement • Demonstrates proficiency in research research project of interest. a research proposal. methods. • Fails to initiate moving identified project Reviews relevant literature and actively • Demonstrated research productivity. forward and/or resists active participation in • Successful completion of research participate in grant writing. patient recruitment, data collection or • Active participation in study recruitment, data project including publication and/or collection, organization, and analysis. analysis. presentation. • Lack of research productivity – misses • Demonstrated commitment to ethical principles of identified deadlines, meeting dates, etc. research. Active participation in the writing of animal care and use protocol. Performance that warrants praise Provide specific comments: Performance that needs attention Provide specific comments:

7) Clinical Research and Publication Productivity: Demonstrates initiative to identify, participate in, and complete a clinical

research project for publication under the supervision of a faculty mentor.

Insufficient contact to judge

1 2 3	4 5 6	7 8 9
Unsatisfactory: 1 - 3	Satisfactory: 4 - 6	Superior: 7 - 9
Lacks enthusiasm and initiative in participating in teaching or lecturing. No interest in developing teaching or lecturing skills. Consistently unprepared for or cancels lectures, journal club presentation, or round discussions.	 Actively assists in leading case and topic round discussions. Effective presentation style with up-to-date material that is well-organized and presented in sufficient depth. Interested and enthusiastic about lecture topics and demonstrates a comprehensive knowledge of the subject presented. Utilizes handouts or other learning aids to enhance lecture content. 	 Regularly initiates leading case and top round discussions. Always demonstrate enthusiasm about teaching. Committed to continuing learning and improving teaching skills. Consistently eager to participate in teaching opportunities.
Provide specific comments:		
 Performance that needs attent Provide specific comments: Teaching evaluations (number Comments: 		
Provide specific comments: • Teaching evaluations (number		
Provide specific comments: Teaching evaluations (number Comments:	and numeric average)	7 8 9
Provide specific comments: Teaching evaluations (number Comments: Insufficient contact to judge esident Overall Competence in SAII	and numeric average)	7 8 9 Superior: 7 - 9
Provide specific comments: Teaching evaluations (number Comments: Insufficient contact to judge esident Overall Competence in SAII 1 2 3	and numeric average) M 4 5 6	